Prevalence of Tobacco Use and Secondhand Smoking among Omani Male Adolescents and the Sociodemographic Correlates: A Cross-sectional Study

Tamadhir Al-Mahrouqi¹*, Gowda P. Prashanth², Fida Al Ghailani³, Maryam Al Maqbali³, Maather Al Saidi² and Sachin Jose⁴

¹Department of Behavioral Medicine, Sultan Qaboos University Hospital, Muscat, Oman ²College of Medicine & Health Sciences, National University of Science and Technology, Sohar, Oman ³Internship Training Program, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman ⁴Research Section, Oman Medical Specialty Board, Muscat, Oman

Received: 6 December 2024 Accepted: 30 June 2024

*Corresponding author: <u>t.almahrouqi@squ.edu.om</u>

DOI 10.5001/omj.2025.86

Abstract

Objectives: To assess the prevalence of tobacco use among adolescent males in Oman and identify sociodemographic factors influencing usage and attitudes towards tobacco products.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted between January and March 2024 among male adolescents in public schools (grades 9–12) in Sohar, Oman. A two-stage cluster sampling approach was employed: six schools were randomly selected proportional to enrollment size, followed by random selection of classrooms within each school. Data were collected using a validated Arabic version of the Global Youth Tobacco Survey, administered in-person. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.

Results: All participants completed the survey in classroom settings (100% response rate; n=227). Forty participants (17.6%) reported to have tried tobacco with the age at initiation largely between 14 and 15 years. Current smoking prevalence was 7.5% (n=17) and exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) was reported by over 40% of participants

both in enclosed and open spaces. Awareness of the harmful effects of tobacco was high, with 61.6% (n=140) acknowledging the health risks associated with tobacco use. Misconceptions concerning tobacco use remained prevalent, notably regarding the risks of SHS, perceived prevalence of peer smoking, and social desirability associated with smoking.

Conclusions: Despite relatively high awareness of harmful effects, tobacco use among adolescents in Sohar remains an important public health concern, particularly regarding SHS exposure and social influences. Appropriate intervention strategies should target persistent misconceptions and address social influences. Strengthening of public health initiatives and smoking bans in public spaces are recommended to limit tobacco exposure among Omani youth.

Keywords: Cross-sectional study; Adolescents; Oman; Smoking; Tobacco.

Introduction

Tobacco cigarette smoking is the primary method of tobacco consumption and represents a significant avoidable risk to public health.¹ According to the World Health Organization (WHO), tobacco smoking is responsible for over 8 million deaths annually.^{2,3} Recent projections indicate that tobacco-related causes will lead to around 10 million deaths annually by 2030 with a significant majority around 70% of deaths occurring in low- and middle-income nations and significant morbidity including cognitive impairment.^{2,4} Tobacco consumption in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR), encompassing numerous Arab countries including Oman, continues to pose significant public health challenges.³ This region stands out as one of the two fastest-growing regions in terms of tobacco product consumption, with anticipated prevalence rates projected to rise by 25% by 2025. In contrast, Europe, America, and Asia are expected to experience declines in tobacco use during the same period.³

In Oman, tobacco use and secondhand smoke (SHS) cost more than US\$ 600 million in the year 2016, which represented close to 1% of the country's gross domestic product.⁵ This figure underscores the substantial economic burden of tobacco-related issues on Oman. The prevalence of tobacco use in Oman has risen from 10.9% in 2000 to an estimated 13.5% in 2025.⁶

Among Omani youth, the prevalence of tobacco use is 1.7%, with a higher rate among males than females.⁵ Current tobacco smoking rates among people aged 15 years and older, 2022 estimates age-standardized point prevalence is 14.9% and 0.4% among boys and girls respectively.³ Existing literature from Oman and other Gulf Cooperative Council (GCC) countries indicates adolescence is a critical period for the initiation of tobacco use.⁷⁻⁹ A considerable number of individuals begin smoking during adolescence, which is linked to higher risks of developing chronic health issues including cancer later in life.^{10,11} Also, substance abuse often co-occurs with other unhealthy behaviors in youth.¹²

The susceptibility to tobacco smoking among Omani youth is influenced by a multifaceted interplay of social, environmental, and cognitive factors.⁶ Some of the key factors influencing the susceptibility to tobacco smoking among Omani youth include exposure to tobacco use within the household, having friends with smoking habits, and positive beliefs about tobacco use in social gatherings.⁷ Conversely, exposure to anti-tobacco messages in the media has been found to decrease the susceptibility to tobacco use among young Omanis significantly.⁶ Therefore, tobacco use among young individuals in Oman is a significant concern necessitating urgent public health initiatives at an individual level.¹³

In this research, we aimed to study current prevalence of tobacco smoking among adolescents in Oman and investigate the participants' understanding of tobacco products and their usage, as well as their attitudes toward tobacco use and associated behaviors. Additionally, the study examined adolescents' exposure to various forms of tobacco products such as cigarettes, shisha, and smokeless tobacco both at home and in school.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the prevalence of tobacco smoking and exposure to SHS among adolescents in Sohar, the largest city of the Al Batinah North Governorate, Oman. The study was carried out between January and March 2024.

The study population comprised male students from grades 9 to 12 (ages 14–18) attending boys' public schools in Sohar.

The questionnaire was adapted from the Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS), officially translated and validated in Arabic by the WHO.^{14,15} All male students in grades 9 to 12 from selected public schools in Sohar were eligible to participate. In the first stage, six schools were randomly selected from all boys' public schools in Sohar, with probability proportional to enrollment size. In the second stage, classes within these schools were randomly chosen. A computer-generated list of all boys' public schools in Sohar was used for random selection. Girls' public schools and private schools were excluded. In the second stage, classes within the selected schools were randomly chosen. All students in selected classes who were present on the day of survey administration were eligible to participate. This approach ensured a representative sample of students in the specified grades. Students or parents who did not provide written consent were excluded.

Data was collected using a structured questionnaire adapted from the GYTS, a component of the WHO Global Tobacco Surveillance System, that provides a standardized protocol to monitor the key articles of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC).¹⁴ This instrument, jointly developed by the WHO and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, has an Arabic version that has undergone validation by the WHO. It was studied in many Arabic-speaking countries and showed strong psychometric properties.¹⁵ The questionnaire is publicly available and free to use for research purposes.

The instrument encompasses essential indicators of tobacco-related behaviors, including prevalence rates and accessibility to diverse tobacco products. It further evaluates participants' comprehension of tobacco products, their attitudes and perceptions regarding tobacco consumption, and behavioral patterns including usage frequency, consumption contexts, procurement sources, cessation intentions, previous quit attempts, and exposure to tobacco-related media and promotional materials.¹⁴

To calculate the required sample size, we estimated the prevalence of tobacco use among the adolescent population, based on previous regional studies, to be around 15%.^{3,5} Using a confidence level of 95%, an absolute precision of 5%, and an anticipated non-response rate of 10%, the minimum required sample size was calculated to be 216 participants using the formula for single-proportion studies.

The investigators administered the paper-format questionnaires in the selected classrooms under the investigators and teacher supervision and the responses were collected in the same sitting ensuring 100% response rate. It was ensured that participants do not discuss the answers to questions while completing the questionnaire. Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic characteristics, and the prevalence of tobacco use and exposure. Continuous variables were presented as means and standard deviations, while categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages.

The study received ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee at the National University of Science and Technology, Muscat (Ref: NU/COMHS/EBC005/2023). Necessary permissions were obtained from the Ministry of Education, Oman and individual school administrations before data collection. Written informed consent from one of the parents and student assents was obtained prior to data collection while ensuring voluntary participation and confidentiality. The authors ensured that all procedures complied with the ethical standards of relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the revised Helsinki Declaration.

Results

A total of 227 male students in grades 9 through 12 from six boys' public schools in Sohar, Oman, participated in the study. The demographic characteristics of the participants are presented in **Table 1**. Their ages ranged from 15 to 21 years, with the mean age of 16.8 years (Standard deviation, SD 1.3). The largest age group was 16–17 years, comprising 29.0% (n=66) of the sample. The distribution of participants across grades was relatively even, although grade 10 had the highest representation at 27.3% (n=62). When asked about parental employment, 51.5% (n=117) reported that their father (or stepfather) was the sole working parent, while the remainder described either mother alone or both parents working. Regarding future aspirations, 74.8% (n=170) of students indicated a preference for professional careers, most commonly in medicine or engineering, reflecting strong ambitions toward highly skilled occupations.

Table 1:	Baseline	demographic	characteristics.
----------	----------	-------------	------------------

Characteristic	N = 227	%
Age in years		
• 15-16	48	21.1
• 16-17	66	29.0
• 17-18	54	23.7
• >18	59	25.9
Grade		

- 0	52	22.0
• 9	52	22.9
• 10	62	27.3
• 11	55	24.2
• 12 Decention of the second states	58	25.5
Parent's employment status	117	51.5
• Father/stepfather only	117	51.5
• Mother/stepmother only	11	4.8
• Both	76	33.4
• Neither	19	8.3
• Don't know	4	1.7
Father's education		
 No schooling 	8	3.5
 High school or below 	52	22.9
 High school to college 	38	16.7
• Graduate	37	16.3
Postgraduate	15	6.6
Professional degree	8	3.5
• I don't know	69	30.4
Mother's education		
No schooling	20	8.8
• High school or below	46	20.2
High school to college	39	17.1
• Graduate	39	17.1
Postgraduate	12	5.2
Professional degree	2	0.8
• I don't know	69	30.4
Future career choice /preference		
Graduation in arts or commerce	20	8.8
Graduation in science	20	8.8
• Professional (Medicine Engineering)	170	74.8
• Work (Business, agriculture)	17	7.4
· · · · · /		
Weekly expenditure on personal needs*	22	0.6
• No money	22	9.6
• <2 OMR	53	23.3
• 2-5	69 40	30.4
• 6-10	48	21.1
• 11-20	19	8.3
• >21	16	7.0

*Narrow intervals (<2 OMR, 2–5 OMR, 6–10 OMR) capture the modal spending ranges, while broader upper-end brackets (11–20 OMR, >21 OMR) ensured sufficient sample sizes for robust prevalence estimates.

Overall, 17.6% (n=40) of participants had ever tried tobacco products, and among these early initiators, the majority first experimented at 14–15 years of age (**Table 2**). Current use, within the past month, was reported by 7.5% of participants (n=17). Among those, 4% (n=9) smoked on one to two days and 1.7% (n =4) reported daily smoking. Conversely, 90.3% (n=205) reported no smoking in the previous month. Smoking most often took place in private settings, with participants citing their own homes or friends' homes as the primary locations.

• 10-11 years 3 7.5 • 12-13 years 9 22. • 14-15 years 14 35 • > 16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) 8 20 • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 3 • 3-5 4 18 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 40 18 14 18 • At home 12 35. 4 18 13. 13. 10-19 2 9 40 14 18 14 15 16 13. 13. 13. 13. 14 15 14 18 14 18 13. 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 15 15 14 16 16 17. 16 16	Current and past tobacco use behaviors	N=40*	%
• 8-9 years 3 7.5 • 10-11 years 3 7.5 • 12-13 years 9 22. • 14+15 years 14 35 • >16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) 7 17. • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Someone else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 18. • 6-9 3 13. 13. • 10-19 2 9 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 1 18. • At thome 12 35. • At three 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 1 10 • 1 or less 9 52. 3 17. • 1 or less 9 52. 11. 39. • 20 0 0 0.0	Age at first tobacco use (n=40)		
• 10-11 years 3 7.5 • 12-13 years 9 22. • 14-15 years 14 35 • > 16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) 8 20 • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n=22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 3 • 3-5 4 18 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 40 18 14 18 • 41 days 4 18 12 35. 14 18 • 6-9 3 13. 13. 10-19 2 9 40 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. 14 18. 13. 13. 13. 14. 15. 14. 16. 17. 17. 14. 18. 13. 13. 13. 17. 14. 16. 17. 17. 14. <t< td=""><td>• < 7 years</td><td>4</td><td>10</td></t<>	• < 7 years	4	10
• 10-11 years 3 7.5 • 12-13 years 9 22. • 14-15 years 14 35 • > 16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) 7 17. • Store or shop 8 20 • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 3 • 3-5 4 18 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 40 18 16 19 2 9 41 18 19 26 5 14 19 26 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td>7.5</td></t<>		3	7.5
• 12-13 years 9 22. • 14-15 years 14 35 • >16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) 8 20 • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some on else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 3.5 • 6-9 3 13. 6.9 • 10-19 2 9 40 • Atl days 4 18. 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 2 5.8 • At work 2 5.8 • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 11. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2.5 3 17. • 1 or less 9		3	7.5
• 14-15 years 14 35 • >16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) 8 20 • Store or shop 8 20 • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At thome 12 35. • At work 2 58. • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 51. • 1 or less 9 52. 2.5 • 2.0 0 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11		9	22.
• >16 years 7 17. Usual source of cigarettes (n=26) • 1 2.5 • Store or shop 1 2.5 5 • Vending machine 2 5 5 • Some one else 11 27. 5 • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At work 2 5.8 • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 1 17. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 2-5 3 17. • 2-6 0 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28)		14	35
• Store or shop 8 20 • Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some on else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 2 5.8 • At more 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At more 12 35. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 1 or less 9 • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 1-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 • No strong desire 11 39. • Within 60 min 1 3.5. <	• >16 years	7	17.
• Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some one else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 11. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 1-20 2 11. • >20 0 00 • No strong desire 11 39. • No strong desire 11 35. <td>Usual source of cigarettes (n=26)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Usual source of cigarettes (n=26)		
• Kiosk 1 2.5 • Vending machine 2 5 • Some one else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 40 • 1-2 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 11. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 1-20 2 11. • >20 0 00 • No strong desire 11 39. • No strong desire 11 35. <td>• Store or shop</td> <td>8</td> <td>20</td>	• Store or shop	8	20
• Vending machine 2 5 • Someone else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 4 10 • 1-2 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 11. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2.5 3 17. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2.5 3 17. • 1.0 2 11. • 20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11			2.5
• Someone else 11 27. • Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 • $1-2$ 9 40 • $3-5$ 4 18. • $6-9$ 3 13. • $10-19$ 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 4 18. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 5 14. • Other 9 52. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 11. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2.0 0 0.0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 35. 1.2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2.4 hr. 2 7.1 2.4 hr. 4	Vending machine	2	5
• Some other means 4 10 Number of smoking days in the last month (n= 22) 9 • 1-2 9 40 • 3-5 4 18. • 6-9 3 13. • 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 4 18. • At home 12 35. • At more 12 35. • At more 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 1 10 • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 1-2 0 2 11. • >20 0 0 0.0 Time to strong desire 11 35. 1-2 hr.			27.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	• Some other means	4	10
• $3-5$ 4 18. • $6-9$ 3 13. • $10-19$ 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 5 14. • Other 9 52. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 2 11. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 1-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong desire 11 39. • Within 60 min 1 3.5. • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1 <td>Number of smoking days in the last month $(n=22)$</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number of smoking days in the last month $(n=22)$		
• $6-9$ 3 13. • $10-19$ 2 9 • All days 4 18. Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 1 35. • No strong desire 11 39. • No strong desire 1 3.5. • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• 1-2	9	40
• 10-19 2 9 • All days 4 18 Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 39. • Within 60 min 1 3.5 • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• 3-5		18.
 All days All days At loaps At home At work At work At friend's house At friend's house At friend's house Other Public places Other Other Other Other 1 or less 2-5 3 17. 6-10 11-20 >20 No strong desire No strong desire Within 60 min 12 35. 1-2 hr. 2-4 hr. 4-24 hr. 4-24 hr. 4-24 hr. 	• 6-9		13.
Usual smoking locations (n=34) 12 35. • At home 12 5.8 • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 35. • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• 10-19		9
• At home 12 35. • At work 2 5.8 • At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 39. • No strong desire 11 3.5 • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• All days	4	18.
 At work At friend's house At friend's house Public places Other Other 1 or less 2-5 2-5 6-10 11-20 >20 No strong desire No strong desire No strong desire No strong desire 12-2 hr. 2-4 hr. 4-24 hr. 4-24 hr. 	Usual smoking locations (n=34)		
• At friend's house 6 17. • Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 39. • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• At home	12	35.
• Public places 5 14. • Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 39. • No strong desire 1 3.5. • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• At work		5.8
• Other 9 26. Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17) 9 52. • 1 or less 9 52. • 2-5 3 17. • 6-10 3 17. • 11-20 2 11. • >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) 11 39. • No strong desire 11 3.5. • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• At friend's house		17.
Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17)952.• 1 or less952.• 2-5317.• 6-10317.• 11-20211.• >2000.0Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28)139.• No strong desire1139.• No strong desire13.5.• 1-2 hr.27.1• 2-4 hr.414.• 4-24 hr.27.1			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	• Other	9	26.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number of cigarettes smoked daily (n=17)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	• 1 or less	9	52.
 6-10 11-20 >20 0 <l< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></l<>			
 11-20 >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) No strong desire Within 60 min 1-2 hr. 2-4 hr. 4-24 hr. 4-24 hr. 			
 >20 0 0.0 Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28) No strong desire 11 39. Within 60 min 1-2 hr. 2-4 hr. 4-24 hr. 4-24 hr. 7.1 		2	
• No strong desire 11 39. • Within 60 min 1 3.5 • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1		0	0.0
• Within 60 min 1 3.5 • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	Time to strong craving after smoking (n=28)		
• Within 60 min 1 3.5 • 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• No strong desire	11	39.
• 1-2 hr. 2 7.1 • 2-4 hr. 4 14. • 4-24 hr. 2 7.1		1	3.5
• 4-24 hr. 2 7.1	• 1-2 hr.	2	7.1
	• 2-4 hr.		14.
• 1-3 days 4 14	• 4-24 hr.		7.1
	• 1-3 days	4	14.

Attempts to quit smoking in the last year (n=40)

٠	Yes	16	40.0
•	No	12	30.0
٠	Not smoked in the last 1 year	12	30.0

**Current smokers n=17*

Exposure to SHS was relatively common in public environments. During the month preceding the survey, 42.3% (n=96) of students encountered SHS in enclosed public spaces, and 41.4% (n=94) did so in open outdoor areas. At home, 15% (n=34) reported exposure over that same period (**Table 2**). Focusing on the week before data collection, 85.0% (n=193) experienced no SHS at home, yet 8.7% (n=20) were exposed on three to six days, and 5.7% (n=13) on one to two days. Notably, 10% (n=23) reported encountering SHS in enclosed public spaces every day of the week, and 34.8% (n=79) experienced SHS on school premises during the previous week. These findings highlight that public and school settings pose substantial SHS risks for adolescents while home remains a less frequent site of exposure.

When assessing awareness of health risks, 61.6% (n=140) of participants perceived tobacco use as "*probably*" or "*definitely*" harmful. However, recognition of SHS dangers was lower: 60.7% (n=138) did not clearly perceive SHS as harmful to their health. Family communication played a significant role, with 68.2% (n=155) reporting discussions about tobacco's adverse effects at home, and 66% (n=150) having received formal instruction on tobacco harm in school. Despite this education, perceptions of peer behavior diverged: 54.1% (n=123) believed that some of their peers used tobacco, suggesting underestimation of true peer prevalence or mixed messaging about harm.

Table 3 presents the data regarding the attitudes of Omani adolescents toward tobacco use. Adolescent attitudes toward tobacco were generally defensive. When asked if they would accept a tobacco product offered by a close friend, 77.9% (n=177) stated they would "*definitely not*" use it. Support for smoke-free policies differed markedly by setting: 86.7% favored banning smoking in enclosed public areas, whereas only 46.2% supported bans in outdoor public spaces. Furthermore, 74.4% (n=169) of participants believed that tobacco companies deliberately target individuals under 18. In the social context, 54.6% (n=124) agreed that smoking made young people appear less attractive among peers.

 Table 3: Knowledge of tobacco products and their use.

Knowledge and beliefs about tobacco use	N=227	%
Cost of cigarettes	7	2.0
 <0.5 OMR 0.5 to 1 OMR 	7 9	3.0 3.9
	9 16	5.9 7.0
 I to 1.5 OMR 1.5 to 2 OMR 	10	8.3
• 2 to 2.5 OMR	13	8.3 5.7
 2 to 2.5 OMR >2.5 OMR 	15	6.6
	15	0.0
Perception of Harm from Tobacco Use	10	0.2
• Definitely not harmful	19	8.3
Probably not harmful	8	3.5
Probably harmful	60	26.4
• Definitely harmful	140	61.6
Perception of Harm from Secondhand Smoke		• • -
• Definitely not harmful	47	20.7
Probably not harmful	15	6.6
Probably harmful	76	33.4
Definitely harmful	89	39.2
Discussed Harmful Effects with Family		
• Yes	155	68.2
• No	72	31.7
Tobacco Use Discussed in School		
• Yes	113	49.7
• No	63	27.7
• Not sure	51	22.4
Taught About Negative Effects of Tobacco in School		
• Yes	150	66.0
• No	43	18.9
• Not sure	34	14.9
Perception of Peer Smoking Prevalence		
• Most of them use tobacco	33	10.1
• About half of them use tobacco	24	10.5
• Some of them use tobacco	123	54.1
• None of them use tobacco	57	25.1

Table 4: Attitudes and perceptions of tobacco use.

Survey question	N=227	%
Do you think smoking cigarettes/ shisha/ smokeless tobacco makes young people look more or less attractive?		
• More attractive	33	14.5
Less attractive	124	54.6
No difference	70	30.8

If one of your best friends offered you a tobacco product, would you use it?		
Definitely not	177	77.9
Probably not	15	6.6
• Probably yes	18	7.9
• Definitely yes	16	7.0
• Cannot say	1	0.4
Do you believe that tobacco companies try to get young people under age 18 to use tobacco products?		
• Yes	169	74.4
• No	58	25.5
Do you think that you would be able to stop smoking?		
• Yes	19	8.3
• No	6	2.6
• I don't smoke now	16	7.0
Do you think it would be difficult to quit smoking?		
• Definitely not	90	39.6
Probably not	78	34.3
• Probably yes	38	16.7
• Definitely yes	21	9.2
Do you think smoking tobacco helps people feel more comfortable or less comfortable in other social gatherings?		
• Moe comfortable	77	33.9
Less comfortable	83	36.5
No difference	60	26.4
Do you agree or disagree with the statement "I think I might enjoy smoking"?		
• I currently smoke cigarettes	17	1.3
• Strongly agree	14	6.1
• Agree	17	7.4
• Disagree	60	26.4
Strongly disagree	119	52.4
Do you want to stop smoking?		•••
• I don't smoke now	21	9.2
• Yes	14	6.1
• No	8	3.5
During the next 12 months, do you think you will use tobacco?	100	000
Definitely not	188	82.8
Probably not	13	5.7
Probably yes Dr. Gritcheren	13	5.7
• Definitely yes	13	5.7

Discussion

This cross-sectional study highlighted the significant prevalence of tobacco exposure, particularly SHS, among adolescent males. Our findings revealed a concerning level of tobacco exposure among adolescents, emphasizing the urgent need for targeted public health interventions in this age group. These findings mirror trends observed across

the GCC region, where tobacco consumption, including shisha, cigarettes, and smokeless tobacco, continues to rise among youth populations.

Our findings are consistent with the most recent WHO report highlighting that tobacco use in 13-to-15-year age groups is a critical area of concern and emphasize the need for increased attention on SHS exposure, particularly in schools and homes.^{3,11}

The prevalence of ever trying smoking among our participants is lower than the global average but higher than the previous estimates for Oman.^{6-9,11} This observation is in agreement with the previous trend of lack of significant reduction in susceptibility to tobacco use among youth in Oman compared to other GCC Countries.⁵⁻⁷ The finding that nearly two-thirds of participants did not clearly recognize the harm of SHS has significant public health implications. This low awareness may reflect broader sociocultural, educational, and policy challenges in effectively conveying the dangers of SHS to adolescents. While Oman has made progress in tobacco control, there is still significant work to be done on the policy front to target the adolescent population.¹¹

The overall prevalence of smoking initiation in this study, with 17.6% of participants reporting having tried smoking and 7.5% currently smoking, is in line with regional trends.^{3,5,16} However, one of the most alarming findings is the substantial exposure to SHS with a significant proportion of adolescents also being exposed in public spaces or enclosed areas outside the home. This corresponds with global data indicating the high rates of SHS exposure among adolescents, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ This exposure is associated with increased susceptibility to smoking initiation, reinforcing the need for stronger public health interventions.

A good level of awareness about the harmful effects of tobacco use was noted with 61.6% participants perceiving tobacco use as *probably* or *definitely* harmful. This suggests that educational efforts implemented in Oman have been somewhat successful. However, the disconnect between knowledge and behavior highlights the complex nature of tobacco use and the need for multifaceted prevention strategies that go beyond education alone.⁸ Efforts to address these perceptions are critical, particularly given the increasing use of non-cigarette nicotine products such as e-cigarettes and waterpipes in Oman.^{9,20,23}

Waterpipe (*Shisha*) smoking has historically been popular among older men in the EMR, who often gather in cafes to socialize and play games. In recent years, it has become increasingly popular among the university students

and teenagers.²¹ Studies have indicated that the prevalence of waterpipe smoking is notably high among adolescents in Oman (9.6%) and in the EMR (10.7%, 95% CI: 9.5–11.9) compared to global population (6.9%, 95% CI 6.4–7.5).^{9,20} In a pooled analysis of 17 Arab nations, Veeranki et al. reported that adolescents who used waterpipe had 2.5 times higher odds of susceptibility to cigarette smoking initiation (95% CI=1.9, 3.4).²² This is concerning, as previous studies indicated that waterpipe smoking can lead to higher rates of nicotine dependence and is associated with the use of other tobacco products later in life.²⁰, Although our study did not assess waterpipe usage pattern, nearly 1/3rd of the participants expressed a strong craving within 24 hours after smoking suggesting nicotine dependence tendency among current users.

Peer influence emerged as one of the significant factors influencing tobacco use, with 20.6% of students reporting that more than half of their friends used tobacco products. While there is strong resistance to peer pressure and an overall rejection of tobacco as a socially desirable behavior, significant misperceptions persist, particularly around the role of tobacco in social settings and the attractiveness of smoking. These findings align with previous research underscoring the role of peer pressure and social networks in the initiation and continuation of smoking behaviors. Friends' smoking status is reported to significantly increase the odds of susceptibility in Oman (adjusted OR 3.3, 95% CI: 1.7–6.2).⁶ Adolescents with friends who smoke are more likely to experiment with tobacco themselves, and this influence is compounded by the normalization of tobacco use in social settings.

The finding that three-fourth of participants believed tobacco companies try to entice people under 18 to use tobacco products validates with global concerns about industry targeting of young people.²⁴ Recent estimates of the costs of tobacco use and SHS highlights the economic burden of tobacco-related issues on Oman.^{4,11} Despite making considerable progress, Oman remains the only GCC state without comprehensive tobacco control legislation.^{11,22} The awareness among Omani adolescents in this area is encouraging and suggests that education efforts about industry tactics may be having some impact. However, it also underscores the ongoing need for vigilance and strong policies to counteract industry influence, as highlighted in the WHO FCTC.¹⁴ Interestingly, while most participants expressed support for banning smoking in enclosed public spaces, fewer supported a ban on smoking in outdoor public areas. This may indicate a lack of awareness of the dangers of SHS in outdoor settings, where exposure can still have significant health consequences.

Our findings are consistent with the broader literature, which indicates that older adolescents and those with parents who smoke are at higher risk of tobacco use. Alves et al. reported that children with two smoking parents were more likely to experiment with smoking as early as 13 years and to become daily smokers at all ages.²⁵ This risk was attributed to a higher chance of transitioning from early smoking stages to daily smoking. Additionally, students with higher weekly allowances were more likely to engage in smoking, which corroborates the previous observation that disposable income may facilitate access to tobacco products in Oman.⁹

Our results provide latest data on tobacco use and exposure among adolescent males in Oman, filling a crucial gap in the literature.¹¹ Although the study is restricted to male students from public schools in Sohar, limiting the generalizability of findings, the use of a standardized, internationally validated instrument allows for regional and cross-national comparisons. The cross-sectional nature of the study precludes determination of temporal sequences and causal inferences regarding factors associated with tobacco use. Self-reported data on tobacco use may be subject to social desirability bias, potentially leading to underreporting of tobacco use. Additionally, the use of cigarettes, shisha or smokeless tobacco were not assessed separately, limiting our ability to examine product-specific patterns and risk factors.

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that many Omani adolescent males experimented with tobacco, and several factors that may influence tobacco initiation among youth in Oman. This research addresses the WHO's call for countries to establish robust monitoring systems as per FCTC Article 20. Through analysis of adolescents' knowledge, attitudes, and practices, this study provides evidence-based insights to inform targeted prevention policies and counter tobacco industry marketing strategies.¹⁸

The high prevalence of SHS also highlights an urgent public health issue. Efforts to limit tobacco use in this population should focus on enhancing awareness of the dangers of SHS, particularly in outdoor, home environments, and indoor public spaces including restaurants, cafes, and other gathering places frequented by youth. The divided opinions on outdoor smoking bans suggest a need for targeted education about the risks of SHS in all environments.²⁴

We recommend key areas for intervention that include strengthening smoke-free policies, particularly in public spaces frequented by youth and developing targeted prevention programs for early adolescents (14-15 years).¹² Social influences on tobacco use, including peer pressure and family smoking habits need due consideration in such interventions. Long-term follow-up studies are needed to track changes in tobacco use patterns over time and evaluate the effectiveness of interventions. Future studies should include female students, private schools, and other regions of Oman to provide a more comprehensive national representation. Qualitative studies could further offer deeper insights into the social and cultural factors influencing tobacco use among Omani youth.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this study.

References

- GBD 2019 Tobacco Collaborators. Spatial, temporal, and demographic patterns in prevalence of smoking tobacco use and attributable disease burden in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet. 2021;397(10292):2337-2360. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01169-7. Epub 2021 May 27. Erratum in: Lancet. 2021 Jun 19;397(10292):2336. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01282-4.
- Wold Health Organization. Tobacco. 2023. From: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco [Accessed Apr 2024]
- Wold Health Organization global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco use 2000–2030. 2024. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240088283 [Accessed June 2024]
- Muhammad F, Ummah AS, Aisyah F, Danuaji R, Mirawati DK, Subandi S, Hamidi BL, Hutabarat EAJ, Reviono R, Rahmawati YEN, Ridwan I. Active and Passive Smoking as Catalysts for Cognitive Impairment in Rural Indonesia: A Population-based Study. Oman Med J. 2024;39(4):e655. doi: 10.5001/omj.2024.94.
- Koronaiou K, Al-Lawati JA, Sayed M, Alwadey AM, Alalawi EF, Almutawaa K, et al. Economic cost of smoking and secondhand smoke exposure in the Gulf Cooperation Council countries. Tob Control. 2021;30(6):680-686. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055715.
- Monshi SS, Wu J, Collins BN, Ibrahim JK. Youth susceptibility to tobacco use in the Gulf Cooperation Council Countries, 2001–2018. Prev Med Reports. 2022;26.
- Al Riyami AA, Afifi M. Smoking in Oman: prevalence and characteristics of smokers. East Mediterr Health J. 2004; 10(4-5): 600-9.

- Al Omari O, Abu Sharour L, Heslop K, Wynaden D, Alkhawaldeh A, Al Qadire M, et al. Knowledge, Attitudes, Prevalence and Associated Factors of Cigarette Smoking Among University Students: A Cross Sectional Study. J Community Health. 2021;46(3):450-456. doi: 10.1007/s10900-020-00874-0.
- Al-Lawati JA, Muula AS, Hilmi SA, Rudatsikira E. Prevalence and Determinants of Waterpipe Tobacco Use among Adolescents in Oman. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2008;8(1):37-43.
- Berkman AM, Andersen CR, Roth ME, Gilchrist SC. Cardiovascular disease in adolescent and young adult cancer survivors: Impact of sociodemographic and modifiable risk factors. Cancer. 2023;129(3):450-60.
- Razzak HA, Harbi A, Ahli S. Tobacco Smoking Prevalence, Health Risk, and Cessation in the UAE. Oman Med J. 2020;35(4):e165. doi: 10.5001/omj.2020.107.
- Mbarki O, Ghammem R, Zammit N, Ben Fredj S, Maatoug J, Ghannem H. Cross-sectional study of co-occurring addiction problems among high school students in Tunisia. East Mediterr Health J. 2023 Dec 21;29(12):924-936. doi: 10.26719/emhj.23.121.
- Al-Kalbani SR. Overview of tobacco cessation service in Oman: A narrative review. Tob Prev Cessat. 2025;11. doi: 10.18332/tpc/201992.
- Wold Health Organization. Global Youth Tobacco Survey. 2023. From: https://www.who.int/teams/noncommunicable-diseases/surveillance/systems-tools/global-youth-tobacco-survey [Accessed July 2024]
- Ayedi Y, Harizi C, Skhiri A, Fakhfakh R. Linking Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) data to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC): The case for Tunisia. Tob Induc Dis. 2022;20:07. doi: 10.18332/tid/143994.
- Monshi SS. Examining Tobacco Control Policies in the Gulf Cooperation Council Countries. PhD Thesis, 2021, Temple University, Philadelphia, USA. pp 54-60.
- Ma C, Heiland EG, Li Z, Zhao M, Liang Y, Xi B. Global trends in the prevalence of secondhand smoke exposure among adolescents aged 12-16 years from 1999 to 2018: an analysis of repeated cross-sectional surveys. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(12):e1667-e1678. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00365-X.
- Al-Zalabani AH. Secondhand smoke exposure among adolescents in the gulf cooperation council countries: analysis of global youth tobacco surveys. Sci Rep. 2024;14(1):21534. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-72314-1.
- 19.
 World
 Health
 Organization.
 From:

 https://www.emro.who.int/tfi/news/oman-bans-waterpipes-and-creates-national-awareness-during-covid-19.html
 [Accessed

 Sep 2024]
 Sep 2024]
- Neergaard J, Singh P, Job J, Montgomery S. Waterpipe smoking and nicotine exposure: a review of the current evidence. Nicotine Tob Res. 2007;9(10):987-94. doi: 10.1080/14622200701591591.

- Ma C, Yang H, Zhao M, Magnussen CG, Xi B. Prevalence of waterpipe smoking and its associated factors among adolescents aged 12-16 years in 73 countries/territories. Front Public Health. 2022;10:1052519. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1052519.
- Veeranki SP, Alzyoud S, Kheirallah KA, Pbert L. Waterpipe Use and Susceptibility to Cigarette Smoking Among Never-Smoking Youth. Am J Prev Med. 2015;49(4):502-11. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2015.03.035.
- Awada Z, Cahais V, Cuenin C, Akika R, Silva Almeida Vicente AL, Makki M, et al. Waterpipe and cigarette epigenome analysis reveals markers implicated in addiction and smoking type inference. Environ Int. 2023;182:108260. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2023.108260.
- Al-Lawati JA, Bialous SA. Tactics of the tobacco industry in an Arab nation: a review of tobacco documents in Oman. Tob Control. 2023;32(3):308-314. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2021-056623.
- 25. Alves J, Perelman J, Ramos E, Kunst AE. Intergenerational transmission of parental smoking: when are offspring most vulnerable? Eur J Public Health. 2022;32(5):741-746. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckac065.
- Al-Mahrouqi T, Al-Ghailani F, Al-Maqbali M, Al Saidi M, Prashanth GP. A cluster randomized trial comparing photoaging app and school based educational intervention for tobacco use prevention in adolescents. Sci Rep. 2025;15(1):15374. doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-00165-5.