Epploic appendices (or appendices epiploicae) constitute small pouches of subserosal fat that project into the peritoneal cavity and line in two rows parallel to the tenia coli of the colon. These structures are supplied by one or two arterioles and a single venule. There are usually 50–100 of these outpouchings distributed axially from cecum to rectosigmoid. Approximately 57% of these are located in the sigmoid colon and 26% in the cecum. Their size varies from 0.5 to 5.0 cm. They are considered to act as a defense mechanism to assist in colonic absorption or to protect the blood supply of the colon when it is deranged.

Inflammation of epploic appendices, primary epploic appendagitis (PEA), is a rare cause of acute abdomen. It has been described as an inflammation resulting from spontaneous torsion, subsequent ischemia, and eventually gangrenous necrosis of the appendage or by primary thrombosis of the draining vein and inflammation. Depending on the site of occurrence, it can mimic any cause of acute abdomen and can be a source of diagnostic dilemma.

Here we report an unusual case of a 44-year-old male with PEA of the sigmoid colon. We discuss the presenting symptoms, diagnostic evaluation, management, and the measures to overcome this diagnostic dilemma and review the relevant literature.
was commenced on ciprofloxacin 250 mg and metronidazole started 500 mg, twice daily. Within two days his complaints resolved and further recovery was uneventful.

**DISCUSSION**

PEA is a rare cause of acute abdomen. In a reported series of 1,320 cases of acute abdominal pain, only eight cases were due to PEA. It usually occurs in the fourth and fifth decades of life, predominantly in men. Clinical symptoms include sudden focal non-migratory abdominal pain and tenderness, postprandial fullness, and epigastric discomfort. Fever, diarrhea, vomiting or signs of peritonitis are rarely observed. In our case, the patient started to experience pain 24 hours before his admission. He described his pain as constant, sharp, and non-radiating with a severity of six out of 10. He denied any symptoms of nausea, vomiting, constipation, diarrhea, and fever.

Laboratory findings may include slightly elevated leucocytes and CRP. In a study of 28 patients having PEA with symptoms localized in the left quadrant of the abdomen, elevated white blood cells and CRP were reported in only 15.4% and 7.1% of the patients, respectively. In our patient, the only laboratory value of significance was a slightly elevated CRP.

Radiological examination plays a fundamental role in the differentiation of PEA from other conditions with similar clinical features. CT mostly shows a fatty ovoid pericolonic mass with a hyperdense ring (the hyperattenuating ring sign), which depicts the inflammation of the peritoneal covering of the appendage. Singh et al described a hyperdense central line inside the inflamed appendage in 54% of patients. This finding probably corresponded to either a thrombosed vessel or fibrous septa. Furthermore, bowel wall thickening or compression was apparent in 21% of the patients with PEA in a study that presented the color Doppler sonographic findings of PEA. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can contribute to an accurate diagnosis even though it is not the diagnostic modality of choice. In both T1- and T2-weighted MRI, a fat intensity mass attached to the colon is apparent. In our case, the abdominal CT led to the diagnosis of PEA.

PEA can mimic either acute appendicitis when right-sided appendagitis is involved, or acute colonic diverticulitis when the inflammatory foci are in the left lower abdominal quadrant. Other pathological entities that can confuse clinicians include pelvic inflammatory disease, ureteric stone, gastritis, constipation, ischemic colitis, constipation, cancer, or enteritis. It was reported that epiploic appendagitis may be the case in up to 7% and 1% of patients suspected to have mild diverticulitis and acute appendicitis, respectively.

Surgical involvement is justified only in complications of PEA or in recurred cases. PEA is a self-limited disease and most symptoms subside spontaneously within a period of one week (mean 4.7 days). Antibiotics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are sufficient as the treatment of choice. In our case report, we decided to manage the patient conservatively. He received a course of antibiotics for seven days. His complaints resolved during the next two days, and further recovery was uneventful.

**CONCLUSION**

PEA presents with an abrupt onset of focal abdominal pain and tenderness without significant guarding or rigidity and without associated leukocytosis. Because of its rarity and lack of pathognomonic clinical signs, PEA can pose a diagnostic dilemma and confuse clinicians. Evaluation by CT can distinguish PEA from other conditions with similar clinical manifestations. Surgeons should be aware of this disease and keep it as a differential diagnosis in cases of acute abdomen. A combination
of awareness about this condition and clinical experience can help clinicians to avoid unnecessary surgical interventions. Most patients recover with conservative management only.
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