Epithelioid Hemangioendothelioma of Tibia and Talus: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT
Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma (EHE) of the bone is extremely uncommon and consists of less than 1% of primary bone tumors. It is characterized by epithelioid endothelial cells and has variable biological behavior. EHE is more likely to occur between 20 and 30 years of age. Approximately half of EHE present with multifocal disease. Since the behavior of these tumors is intermediate, it is important to not misdiagnose EHE as an angiosarcoma. Here we describe the case of a 43-year-old male who presented with pain and swelling of the leg and ankle to Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, India. Radiography and computed tomography of the ankle and leg were performed and suggested an expansile lytic lesion involving the distal tibia and talus bone. The lesion was excised and ankle arthrodesis performed. Histological evaluation of the lesion demonstrated the presence of EHE of the tibia and talus.

Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma (EHE) is a rare low-grade malignant vascular tumor, which occur in skin, soft tissue, and visceral organs such as the lung and liver.1 EHE of the bone is extremely uncommon.2 The incidence of primary bone tumors has been reported to be less than 1%.3 Bones of the axial skeleton and long tubular bones are most frequently involved.4 In the long bones, it involves the metaphysis, diaphysis, and very rarely the epiphysis.3 EHE has a higher incidence of multicentricity.5 Here we report a case of multicentric EHE in an adult involving the talus and tibia bones.

CASE REPORT
A 43-year-old man presented with pain and swelling in his right lower leg and ankle, which had lasted for four months. His history was remarkable for fracture of the right tibia following trivial trauma four months prior. He was treated conservatively for the fracture. In view of the persistent pain and swelling, the patient was referred to our center. At the time of presentation, the fracture had healed but the pain and swelling in the lower third of the leg and ankle was persistent. The pain was mild, intermittent, relieved by medications, and nonradiating. On examination, the skin of the leg and ankle was slightly discolored and there was diffuse swelling of the lower leg and ankle. The swelling was tender on palpation and there was an irregularity present on palpation of the tibia. Ankle movement was decreased and there was no neurovascular deficit. A radiograph showed united fracture of the tibia with an expansile lytic lesion of the tibia and talus bone [Figure 1a]. A computed tomography (CT) scan showed a lytic lesion involving the lower third of the tibia and talus bone [Figure 1b]. A provisional diagnosis of a giant cell tumor of the bone was considered. Preoperatively, there was an expansile tumor involving the tibia and talus [Figure 2]. Excision of the tumor with ankle arthrodesis was performed and a fibular graft was placed in the defect area.

Grossly, two necrotic bony tissue pieces measuring 5×4×2cm and 3.5×1.5×0.5cm forming a cavity filled with gray-brown necrotic friable material were identified. Histopathology showed an infiltrating tumor composed of nests, cords, and short strands of cells lining the vascular channels at places [Figure 3a]. The tumor cells were large and possessed eosinophilic cytoplasm and pleomorphic vesicular nuclei with prominent nucleoli. Few mitotic figures (0–10/hpf) were seen. Many of the tumor cells displayed small intracellular lumina seen...
as vacuoles or clear spaces, most of these contained red blood cells [Figure 3b and c]. A myxoid to hyalinized stroma was seen in the background as well as numerous dilated vessels and foci of calcification. The tumor cells were immunoreactive for vimentin, CD31, CD34, and factor VIII R:Ag [Figure 3d]. A diagnosis of low-grade EHE of the bone was made. A whole body skeletal survey was performed to exclude any other site of bone involvement. The patient was doing well at one-year follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

The term EHE was coined by Weiss & Enzinger in 1982 for soft tissue neoplasm. EHE of the bone is rare and a review of literature reveals a slight male predominance. It may occur at any age but most frequently affects the second and third decades of life. The most frequent sites are in long bones, but the tumors can occur in any location and are usually multifocal (>50% of cases). In our case, the tumor involved the tibia and talus bones. Since EHE has a higher incidence of multicentricity compared to conventional angiosarcoma of bone, a complete bone scan is needed to rule this out. Local pain, swelling, and pathological fracture are the common presenting symptoms, as seen in our case. Although the radiographic appearance of the EHE is variable, it usually presents as an expansive, osteolytic, and poorly demarcated lesion. Cortical disruption and extension into soft tissues can be present with joint invasion.

The differential diagnosis for EHE of the bone includes osteomyelitis and various tumors such as skeletal angiomatosis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, myeloma, lymphoma, angiosarcoma, and metastasis. A definitive diagnosis based on radiological findings alone is often difficult. Histopathology remains the mainstay for the diagnosis. Early lesions show nests, cords, chains, and single-file arrays of small, uniform, epithelioid to slightly spindle-shaped cells with occasional intracytoplasmic lumina. The cells are present in dense, myxochondroid or myxohyaline matrix. Approximately, one-third of cases show anaplastic high-grade nuclear features, including frequent mitotic activity, necrosis, and sheet-like growth. These tumors are called high-grade or...
malignant EHE.² A risk stratification model for EHE, based on a combination of cytology, mitotic rate, and size, has been proposed but has not yet been widely accepted.

In high-grade cases, the differential diagnosis of epithelioid angiosarcoma should be excluded. Epithelioid forms of angiosarcoma are much more extensive and typically grow in solid sheets and irregularly anastomosing vascular channels. Necrosis is a common feature and the tumor has a marked desmoplastic reaction.⁷

Low grade EHE can be difficult to distinguish from epithelioid hemangioma.⁸ In epithelioid hemangioma, well-formed vascular channels are more prominent in contrast to the primitive vascular differentiation seen in EHE.⁹ Because of the epithelioid appearance, EHE may be misdiagnosed as metastatic carcinoma.⁸ Cytokeratin staining can be positive in EHE; therefore, staining for vascular antigens such as CD31 and factor VIII is key to diagnosis. When Friend leukemia integration 1 transcription factor (Flt-1) is used in combination with these two endothelial markers it allows for both sensitive and specific discrimination between EHE and poorly differentiated carcinoma.¹⁰

Other epithelioid neoplasms, including epithelioid sarcoma, melanoma, mesothelioma, and anaplastic large cell lymphoma, should also be considered in the differential diagnosis. Appropriate immunostaining can usually provide a definitive diagnosis in such cases.⁷ Although EHE is generally considered a vascular tumor of intermediate malignancy, it is perhaps better considered as a low-grade form of angiosarcoma given its much more aggressive behavior compared to other hemangioendotheliomas. The biological

**Figure 3:** Hematoxylin and eosin staining of a photomicrograph showed (a) nests of tumor cells with microcalcifications, magnification=200×; and (b) tumor cells with intracytoplasmic vacuoles containing red blood cells, magnification=400×. (c) Tumor cells showed moderate pleomorphism, vesicular nuclei and prominent nucleoli with occasional mitosis, magnification=400×. (d) The tumor cells were immunoreactive for factor VIII R:Ag.
behavior of EHE is an intermediate between hemangiomas and conventional angiosarcomas. Hence, it is recommended that the diagnosis should be restricted to lesions that demonstrate features of EHE throughout. The ideal treatment of EHE of the bone is wide resection to reduce local recurrence. Radiation therapy increases the risk of radiation sarcoma and should be reserved for inoperable cases.

**CONCLUSION**

Primary vascular tumors of the bone are very rare. EHE of the bone is a rarely reported vascular tumor of the bone. Immunohistochemistry is a useful adjunct in diagnosing these tumors. The behavior of these tumors is intermediate; hence, it is important not to misdiagnose these as angiosarcomas. Although wide surgical excision is usually required, treatment plans should depend on extension and location of the disease.

**Disclosure**

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

**REFERENCES**