Tracheostomy is a common otorhinolaryngology procedure. The procedure has complications, which can be divided into early and late. Common early complications are bleeding, pneumothorax, subcutaneous emphysema, infection, and tracheostomy blockage or dislodgement. Late complications include tracheal stenosis, tracheocutaneous fistula (TCF), trachea-innominate artery erosion, and tracheomalacia. Cervical aerocele following TCF repair is rare. Delayed cervical aerocele post-decannulation of tracheostomy without a history of TCF repair is extremely rare.

Cervical aerocele is an air-filled mass in the neck, which communicates with the tracheal lumen. Other terms also used to describe the condition include tracheocele, paratracheal air cyst, and tracheal diverticulum. It is a rare condition and rarely reported in the literature. Pediatric cases usually occur after TCF closure, or post-crericoid split operation. In adult patients, it can occur following tracheostomy decannulation. For patients without any surgical procedure history, aerocele may begin as a congenital weakness in the tracheal wall that increases with forceful cough or increased intratracheal pressure. For acquired cervical aerocele, following the surgical procedure, the air cyst forms by air escaping from tracheal wall defect rather than outpouching of tracheal mucosa.

We report a rare case of a patient that developed cervical aerocele eight years post-tracheostomy decannulation, which was aggravated by a prolonged cough.
protrusion or swallowing. The mass margin was well-defined, soft in consistency, crepitus on palpation, and non-tender. It was completely reducible with compression. Flexible nasopharyngolaryngoscopy showed normal findings. We saw no submucosal mass in the false cord suggestive of internal laryngocele and no pooling of saliva. Computed tomography (CT) scan findings showed a superficial lobulated midline lesion at the anterior neck region measuring approximately 2.9 cm (antero-posterior) × 3.6 cm (width) × 4.3 cm (cranio-caudal). The lesion was homogeneously hypodense with Hounsfield unit suggestive of air content with no enhancement post-contrast. It was located anterior to the trachea, and there was no communication seen between the mass and trachea [Figure 2].

**DISCUSSION**

Acquired cervical aerocele usually occurs as a complication of TCF closure or repair. TCF is one of the rare delayed complications of tracheostomy. Occurrence of cervical aerocele following TCF repair is very much rare. They have been rarely reported to occur after decannulation of tracheostomy. In the literature, three cases of aerocele following decannulation of tracheostomy have been reported in adults and three cases in children. Two cases were reported 10 years after decannulation and two cases occurred a few months after decannulation. Our patient developed cervical aerocele eight years after decannulation following an episode of prolonged cough. In our patient, prolonged cough was the significant risk factor for aerocele. It may be due to bouts of intense increased intratracheal pressure causing a fistula between the trachea and the deep space of the neck.

Acquired cervical aerocele can be asymptomatic or with non-specific symptoms. However, they may present with pharyngeal discomfort and cough with or without phlegm. Our patient presented with a history of neck swelling over the tracheostomy scar which was reducible by compression and reappeared during cough. From clinical presentations, the
diagnosis of cervical aerocele is very likely although CT scan reported no communication between the mass and trachea. CT scan can help diagnose the aerocele. By definition, cervical aerocele should have a connection with the trachea, but the connection stalk can be tiny and not demonstrated on CT scan. Some literature reported that CT scan sometimes did not show any communication especially in cases of very narrow opening or those joined to the trachea by a fibrous tract. A communicating stalk between the aerocele and the trachea is seen in approximately half of cases. Besides CT scan, three-dimension reconstruction technology may help to diagnose cervical aerocele accurately. The communication will usually be identified during bronchoscopy or surgical procedure. However, our patient refused the bronchoscopy procedure and excision of the mass.

There are only few articles published on the treatment of cervical aerocele. In asymptomatic patients, no surgical intervention is required, and treatment is conservative. However, for symptomatic cases, surgical intervention is needed. Most cases reported the treatment of aerocele as bronchoscopy and surgical decompression with or without insertion of drain and pressure dressing. An alternative surgical procedure is removal of the cyst via an external approach and closing the communication using absorbable suture under general anesthesia. Due to the rarity of these cases, there is little data reported on either surgical technique and any postoperative complications.

CONCLUSION

Cervical aerocele is a rare condition and patients may present with a compressible neck swelling without any other symptoms. CT scan is sometimes unable to show the connection between the mass and trachea, and three-dimensional reconstruction technology is helpful in establishing any communication between the cyst and trachea. Asymptomatic patients should be treated conservatively while symptomatic patients are recommended surgical intervention.
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